

**REMARKS**

Claims 1 through 14 are pending in this application.

The examiner telephoned January 5, 2005 to request Applicant to elect between:

Group I, covered by claims 1-4, in part, 5-10 and 12-14, directed to formula 1 which is given by formulas 2-7, classified in class 546, at subclass2;

Group II, covered by claim 11, classified in class 546, at subclass2; and

Group III, covered by claims 1, 2, 3 and 4 drawn to formulas not within either Group I or Group II, classified in unknown class, at unknown subclasses.

The examiner also requested Applicant to elect one of the species.


Applicant provisionally elect Group I (read on claims 1-10 and 12-14) and the species of formula 2 (read on claims 1-5 and 12-14) with traverse.

Claims 1-4 and 12-14 are generic.

The traversal is based on that there would not be a serious burden upon the examiner in searching the invention groups I, II, and III. There would not be a serious burden in that all of the Invention groups I, II and III are classified in the same class. As stipulated in *MPEP* §803, if the search can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits.

In view of the foregoing election, this response is believed to be a complete response to the Requirement for Restriction. Should any questions remain unresolved, the Examiner is requested to telephone Applicant's attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Robert E. Bushnell,  
Attorney for the Applicant  
Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 638-5740

Folio: P56916  
Date: 1/7/05  
I.D.: REB/JHP